

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/762,765		05/01/2001	Costa Tzoganakis	1811-228 MIS:jb	7506	
24223	7590	10/01/2003			12	
SIM & MCBURNEY				EXAMINER		
330 UNIVERSITY AVENUE 6TH FLOOR				LIPMAN, E	LIPMAN, BERNARD	
TORONTO CANADA	TORONTO, ON M5G 1R7			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CANADA				1713		
			•	DATE MAILED: 10/01/2003	DATE MAILED: 10/01/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/762,765	TZOGANAKIS ET	AL. 
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Bernard Lipman	1713	4
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	nui une correspondence add	aress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thi vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely NTHS from the mailing date of this co BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under	ince except for formal ma Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	e merits is
Disposition of Claims  4)   Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	With total consideration.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	·.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b)☐ o	disapproved by the Examine	er.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		<del></del>	
<ul> <li>3.  Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior application.</li> </ul>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	·		application).
a)  The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has b	een received.	,
Attachment(s)	- p	. 33 120 GHG/01 121.	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s Informal Patent Application (PTC	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Serial No. 09/762,765

Art Unit 1713

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
- I. Claims 1-14 and 18-22, drawn to grafted polymer and method of making said grafted polymer, classified in Class 525, subclass 100+.
- II. Claim 15, drawn to reactive composite, classified in Class 428, subclass 1+.
- III. Claims 16 and 17, drawn to reacted blend, classified in Class 525, subclass 191+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The special technical features present for the reaction inherent in each of Groups II and III are completely different and said special technical features are different than those specifically inherent in the graft polymer itself. These groups are, therefore, representative of different inventive entities and restrictable.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classifications, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Serial No. 09/762,765 Art Unit

1713

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: A specific silicone polymer for grafting into the graft copolymer.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant

Serial No. 09/762,765

Art Unit 1713

must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) of the other invention.

Bernard Lipman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1713

BL:cdc

September 29, 2003